

Judith A. Feustle, ScD, RN, Associate Dean, Nursing: Stevenson University

BACKGROUND

The increasing demand for registered nurses in the United States has increased the pressure on nursing programs to find sufficient clinical placements for students. This pressure continues to increase. Stevenson University proposed to increase the number of bachelors prepared graduates from the pre-licensure option by 30 graduates per year. This would be accomplished by increasing the number of nursing students from approximately 70 in each year of the program to 100 in each year of the program starting in Fall 2016. This plan to increase enrollment provided the opportunity for a complete redesign of the clinical learning experiences in the prelicensure program. A key component of the redesign is beginning to count simulation hours as part of the required clinical time in all undergraduate nursing courses that include a clinical component.

OBJECTIVES

After reviewing the poster presentation, attendees will be able to:

- Explain the basic methodology of the model designed to count simulation as a part of clinical time.
- Explain how the model decreases the need for a parallel increase in clinical sites as the program expands.
- Compare the number of clinical placements required using the old and new models across various clinical areas.
- Identify benefits of the redesigned model to the university and the students.
- Discuss what worked in Years 1 and II of the model, and what did not work as projected.
- Identify changes in implementation proposed for Year 3 subsequent to the COVID-19 pandemic.

DEVELOPMENT

At its most basic form, the redesign proposed to increase the size of each clinical group from six students to eight students, and then pull two students away from the clinical rotation each assigned day to participate in high-fidelity or virtual patient simulation experiences on campus. This would leave six students in each group in the clinical setting with the Clinical Supervisor and a total of 28-30 students assigned to simulation activities. Across the 14 weeks of clinical experience in NURS 310 – Introduction to Clinical Nursing, each student was pulled out 3 times – once to participate in virtual simulation activities and twice to participate in hi-fidelity simulation experiences. For the Spring semester, students are in three clinical courses. The modular courses, NURS 337 – Psychiatric Nursing and NURS 338 – Care of the Childbearing Family offer each student clinical for half a semester. The modular courses proved more difficult to fit into the new model.

Assessing the Impact of a Simulation Model on Clinical Placements After Two Years of Implementation

METHODOLOGY

The Simulation Team developed the Model shown below to demonstrate where each student would be throughout each week of the fall semester and another model to demonstrate placements for the spring semester. The team worked with the three Course Coordinators to determine simulation activities that best aligned with course outcomes and the clinical evaluation tool. The Creighton Simulation Evaluation Instrument was used to provide standardized evaluations across multiple courses and semesters.

NOTE: The model is not intended to be cost saving. While there are less groups in the hospitals requiring a Clinical Supervisor, more faculty/staff support is needed with students in the simulation labs.



CLINICAL PLACEMENT NUMBERS

NURS 310													
<u>SEMESTER</u>	<u># of Students</u>	<u># of Clinical Groups Estimated Using Pre-Grant</u> <u>Model</u>	<u># of Clinical Groups Using Grant</u> <u>Model</u>										
Fall 2017	74	12	Pre-grant										
Fall 2018	107	18	14										
Fall 2019	107	18	15										
Fall 2020	112	19	13* * projected										
NURS 337													
Spring 2018	77	10	(pre-grant)										
Spring 2019	110	18	15										
Spring 2020	108	18	14										
NURS 338													
Spring 2018	77	12	(pre-grant)										
Spring 2019	110	18	14										
Spring 2020	108	18	14										

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Stevenson University recognizes the support of NSP II Grant 19-118 Title: Increasing the Number of Baccalaureate Graduates through the Deliberate Implementation of a Simulation Model in providing the funding for this project.

Simulation Schedule N337/338 Spring 2020																													
DF		2/2- 2/8		2/9-2/15		2/	2/16- 2/22		2/23- 2/29		3/1-3/7		-3/14	3/15- 3/21	3/3,	/22- /28	3/29-4/4		4/5-4/11		4/12-4/18		4/19- 4/25		4/26-5/2		5/3 - 5/9		
				_	OF	Setta	ilente	on:	_			_		OB M	tual Simu	iati	ori-	_	_			-	Psy	ch Si	mulat	ion	_		
			Tuesday Feb 4	xx	Toesday Feb 11	3 4 41 42 79 80	Tuesday Feb 18	5 6 43 44 81 82	Tuesday Feb 25	7 45 46 83	Tuesday March 3	1 2 39 40 77 78	Tuesday March 10	3 4 41 42 79 80		Tuesday March 24	5 6 43 44 82 52 45	Tuesday March 31	7 46 83 109 53	Tuesday April 7	39 40 2 4 31 32	Tuesday April 14	41 42 3 58 1 34	Tuesday April 21	5 6 43 44 82 52 45	TueslayApril 28	7 46 83 108 53	Tuesday	
			Tuesday Feb 4 1-3	1 2 39 40 77 78	Tuesday Feb 11		Tuesday Feb 18		Tuesday Feb 25	8 84 33 36	Tuesday March 3		Tuesday March 10			Tuesday March 24		Tuesday March 31		Tuesday April 7		Tuesday April 14		Tuestay April 21		TuesdayApril 28		Tuesday	
			Wednesdey Feb 5	17 18 55 56 47 94	Wednesday Feb 12	88 19 20 57 58	Wednesday Feb 19	90 21 22 59 60	Wedneyday Feb 26	92 23 24 61 2 2	Wednesday March 4	86 17 18 55 56	Wednesday March 11	88 19 20 57 58		Wednesday March 25	23 90 21 22 59 13	Wednesday April 1	8 81 92 24 61 62 84	Wednesday April 8	10 18 56 57 12	Wednesday April 15	20 88 50 104 85 79	Wednesday April 22	23 90 21 22 59	Wednesday April 29	* * * * * *	Wednesday	
			Wednesday Eeb 5 1-3	9 10 85 86 48	Wednesday Feb 12	11 12 49 50 87	Wednesday Feb 19	13 14 51 52 89	Wetnesday Feb 26	15 16 53 54 91	Wednesday March 4	31 32 70 71 101 102	Wednesday March 11	11 12 49 50 87	Spring front	Wetnesday March 25	51 89 35 74 105 106	Wednesday April 1	14 15 16 54 91 26	Wednesday April 8	103 77 78 101 70 19	Wednesday April 15	102 71 49 55 72 73	Wednesday April 22	51 89 35 74 105 106	Wetnesday April 29	14 15 16 54 91 26	Wednesday	
			Wednesday Feb 5 4-6	31 32 70 71 101 102	Wednesday Feb 12	34 72 73 95 103 104	Wednesday Feb 19	35 74 105	Wednesday Feb 26	対部行第107	Wednesday March 4	9 10 47 48 85	Wednesday March 11	34 72 73 103 104		Wednesday March 25		Wednesday April 1		Wednesday April 8	50 87 9 80 86 17	Wednesday April 15		Wednesday April 22		Wetherday April 29		Wednesday	
			Thursday Feb 6	×x	Thursday Feb 13	27 65 66 95 96	Thursday Feb 20	28 67 68 97 98	Thursday 546-22	24532	Thursday Minuth 5	25 30 63 63 59	Thursday March 12	27 65 65 96 96		Thursday March 26	28 67 68 97 98	Thursday Admit	29 69 99 100	Thursday April 9	3 4 4 5 5 5 F	Thursday April 16	65 66 % 93 47 48 30	Thursday April 23	28 67 68 97 98	Thursday April 70	29 69 69 12 12	Thursday	
17/70			hundey kee 614	64	Thursday Feb 13		Thursday Feb 20		Thursday Feb 21		Thursday March 5		Trunsday March 12			thursday March 26		Thursday April 2	1241421	Thursday April 9		Thursday April 16		Thursday April 23		Thursday April Tur-	I A & S & S	Thursday	

<u>Courses with clinical for full semester in fall:</u>

- the model works best in these courses

Courses with clinical running in modules in spring:

COVID-19 Impact

- continue.

CONCLUSIONS

THE MODEL IS WORKING - the deliberate implementation of a simulation model such as that utilized by Stevenson can result in allowing an increase in the number of nursing students (and ultimately nursing graduates) without an equal increase in the number of clinical sites required.

As noted in Results, the course works better if clinical is over the full semester, as compared to modular clinical courses. The potential impact of the clinical sites prohibiting this model must be evaluated.

SELECTED REFERENCES

Breymier, T. L., Rutherford-Hemming, T., Horsley, T.L., Atz, T., Smith, L.G., Dadowski, D., & Connor, K. (2015, November). Substitution of clinical experience with simulation in prelicensure nursing programs: A national survey in the United States. *Clinical Simulation in Nursing*, 11(11), 472-478. doi: 10.1016/j.ecns.2015.09.004

Davis, A., Kimble, L., & Gunby, S. (February, 2014). Nursing faculty use of high-fidelity human patient simulation in undergraduate nursing education: A mixed-methods study. *Journal of Nursing Education*, 53(3), 142-150. doi:10.3928/01484834-20140219-02

Khalaila, R. Simulation in nursing education: An evaluation of students' outcomes at their first clinical practice combined with simulations. Nurse Education Today, 34 (2014), 252-258. doi:10.1016/j.nedt.2013.08.015

The NCSBN national simulation study: A longitudinal, randomized, controlled study replacing clinical hours with simulation in prelicensure nursing education. (2014). *Journal of Nursing Regulation*, *5*(2), S3–S40. doi:10.1016/S2155-8256(15)30062-4

Richardson, K. J., & Claman, F. (2014). High-fidelity simulation in nursing education: A change in clinical practice. *Nursing Education Perspectives*, *35*(2), 125–127. doi:10.5480/1536-5026-35.2.125

Sullivan, N., Swoboda, S. M., Breymier, TI, Lucas, L., Sarasnick, J., Rutherford-Hemming, T., Budhathoki, D., & Kardong-Edgren, S. (S.) (2019, May). Emerging evidence toward a 2:1 clinical to simulation ratio: A study comparing the traditional clinical and simulation settings. *Clinical Simulation in Nursing*, 30 (C), 34-41. doi:10.1016/j.ecns.2019.03.003.

RESULTS

simulation days and inpatient clinical days can be separated evenly not interrupted by any days of inclement weather.

more difficult to evenly space time away from the units inclement weather cancellations impacted scheduling some clinical sites now mandate the SAME 6 students and do NOT allow groups of 8 even if all orient the first week.

resulted in the move from inpatient clinical to virtual experiences for the second half of the spring, 2020 semester

Projected positive impact on the model since faculty implemented multiple alternate clinical activities and are evaluating which to