

## INARC 2009 Poster Abstract Rating/Review Criteria

---

### Rating Criteria

Absent	Fair	Good	Excellent
0	1	2	3

---

#### Introduction

##### *For Research abstracts:*

- Clear, concise, justified statement of purpose and aims
- Clear research question(s) and/or hypotheses, consistent with purpose and aims. Note: If qualitative or basic, descriptive study, hypotheses are not expected. Note: Research questions are expected in all research studies, but may be absent in quantitative studies that use hypotheses.
- Rationale given to support that the study addresses an important problem (significance)

##### *For Methodological / Conceptual / Theoretical abstracts:*

- Clear, concise, justified statement of purpose and aims
- Clear justification and rationale for the need for methodological, conceptual, or theoretical exploration of an issue/policy (significance).

---

**Method(s)** – This section must be in sufficient detail to provide evidence of a logical consistency between the study’s purpose(s) and methods

##### *For Research abstracts:*

- If a quantitative approach: appropriateness of design, sampling, sample size, procedures (including measurement & instruments), power analysis, data analysis, limitations, rigor.
- If a qualitative approach: appropriateness of design, sampling, sample size, data collection, analysis procedures, limitations, trustworthiness

##### *For Methodological / Conceptual / Theoretical abstracts:*

- Clear, consistent description of precisely what was done.
- As appropriate, evaluate for: Sampling / search strategy, Data collection / selection criteria for “evidence” or review, Analytical strategy, Reference to theoretical / conceptual / methodological knowledge base.

---

#### Results

##### *For Research abstracts:*

- Clearly stated in relationship to stated research question(s), hypothesis(es), and/or purpose(s)

##### *For Methodological / Conceptual / Theoretical abstracts:*

- Clearly stated in relationship to stated purpose, aims, and/or area of significance

---

#### Discussion/Conclusion

##### *For Research abstracts:*

- Accurate interpretation of results
- Recommendations for practice, education, research, and/or policy

##### *For Methodological / Conceptual / Theoretical abstracts:*

- Contribution to a relevant methodological or theoretical debate
- Recommendations for practice, education, research, and/or policy

---

#### Overall Quality

Evaluate for:

- Clarity and flow
- Consistency among all 4 sections of the abstract

---

#### Relevance and Originality

Evaluate for:

- Relevance to conference theme and/or current issues in research, education, practice, policy, theory, methodology
- Originality of results
- Innovativeness of approach and/or findings

---

#### Overall Impression

Consider overall scientific merit of the abstract and your determination of the importance of this abstract being presented at the conference

---

**Total Score** \_\_\_\_\_ **out of**  
**21**

---